FLUORIDE CAUSES CANCER – Dr John Yiamouyiannis
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SYxLRtu5YM4 “Our studies show that cancers of the gastrointestinal tract, kidney, bladder, breast and ovaries are those primarily associated with fluoride intake”. John Yiamouyiannis PhD, Cancer Control Journal, Vol. 5, no’s1 + 2, p. 75.

FLUORIDE CAUSES CANCER - "In point of fact, fluorine causes more human cancer death, and causes it faster, than any other chemical." Dr. Dean Burk, PhD, former chief of cytochemistry at the U.S. National Cancer Institute for 30 years
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ClqK7XvLg0

Fluoridegate - The Film http://www.fluoridegate.org/ USA EPA shredded evidence of harm and fired Chief Scientist/Toxicologist in an attempt to silence him. Also here > http://youtu.be/zpw5fGt4uVI

Dr. William Marcus, Ph.D, Environmental Protection Agency Scientist, Food & Water Journal, Summer 1998"Fluoride is a carcinogen by any standard we use. I believe EPA should act immediately to protect the public, not just on the cancer data, but on the evidence of bone fractures, arthritis, mutagenicity, & other effects."
http://www.fluoridation.com/quotes.htm#JAMA,%201943,%20Editorial

FLUORIDE'S LINK TO CANCER - DR. DAVID KENNEDY
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=um4baHtxsR4


FLUORIDE HEALTH EFECTS DATABASE http://www.fluoridealert.org/health/

FLUORIDE & CANCER http://slweb.org/bibliography.html click on CANCER link http://slweb.org/bibliography.html#cancer

IRELAND – FLUORIDE IS CAUSING YOU HIGHER INCIDENCES OF PANCREATIC AND PROSTATE CANCER & MORE CASES OF LEUKAEMIA.
Source: http://www.oneworldchronicle.com/?p=5402

Dr. David Kennedy, DDS Fluoride - Cancer - http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hHPUrlz3xKE&feature=youtu.be

This video has Dr David Kennedy DDS speaking about how the EPA was ordered to lie about the safety of water fluoridation because it was/is bought and sold by industry: Water Fluoridation Regulations Are Based on Lies
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vrfORyiET3o

“EPA has more than enough evidence to shut down fluoridation right now.” (Dr. Robert Carton) “Fluoridation,” says former EPA senior scientist Robert J. Carton, PhD, “presents unacceptable risks to public health, and the government cannot prove its claims of safety. When
Dr. Carton has considerable experience as a risk assessment manager for the US Environmental Protection Agency, investigating asbestos, arsenic, hexachlorobenzene, hexachlorobutadiene, and, of course, cancer incidence. Then, for ten years, Dr. Carton was with the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, Fort Detrick, Maryland. He was Chief of Environmental Compliance, responsible for environmental compliance of the Army’s medical research with the National Environmental Policy Act. He also managed the preparation of environmental assessments of biological and chemical defense laboratories throughout the U.S.. Diametrically opposite of the raving, fictional general in Dr. Strangelove, Bob Carton is the real deal: he has a B.A. in Chemistry, an M.S. in Environmental Science, and a Ph.D. in Environmental Science from Rutgers University.

http://www.doctoryourself.com/carton.html

INTERNATIONAL ACADEMY OF ORAL MEDICINE AND TOXICOLOGY

In IAOMT’s ongoing examination of the toxicological data on fluoride, the Academy has made several preliminary determinations over the last 18 years, each concluding that fluoride added to the public water supply, or prescribed as controlled-dose supplements, delivers no discernible health benefit, and causes a higher incidence of adverse health effects.

This current policy position by IAOMT confirms those earlier assessments and asserts that there is no discernible health benefit derived from ingested fluoride and that the preponderance of evidence shows that ingested fluoride in dosages now prevalent in public exposures aggravates existing illnesses, and causes a greater incidence of adverse health effects.

Ingested fluoride is hereby recognized as unsafe, and ineffective for the purposes of reducing tooth decay.


Dr. Anna Goodwin - Hamilton, New Zealand
Medical Oncologist - Bachelor of Science in Biology, Doctorate of Medicine speaks out about water fluoridation

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2vCgB_PGbTE&list=PL26QR7zXwWRCk14a6MXq8EYVXg71U0icj

Suppression of data documenting fluoride’s adverse health effects and lack of benefit is widespread

Scientists and professionals have been persecuted, censored, or harassed when they oppose water fluoridation. Unfortunately, fluoride toxicity has been a “faut pas” for many years, and anybody discussing this topic would be suspected to belong to the antifluoridation movement. Thus, the recently published Environmental Health Criteria 36 on Fluorine and Fluorides (WHO, 1989) only superficially discusses chronic toxicity, while beneficial effects and safety margins are dealt with in detail. As Temporary Adviser I was present at most of the Task Group meeting when the document was finalized. In accordance with the official fluoridation policy, information which could cast any
doubt on the advantage of fluoride supplements was left out by the Task Group. Unless I had been present myself, I would have found it hard to believe. Thus, I am pleased that you have taken a personal interest in the problems of chronic fluoride toxicity.
Philippe Granjean, M.D.
Professor

Full Information: http://fluoridation.com/censor.htm

---

FLUORIDE FATIGUE  Revised 4th printing
FLUORIDE POISONING: is fluoride in your drinking water - and from other sources - making you sick?
BRUCE SPITTLE  Forewords by Professors Albert W. Burgstahler and A.K. Susheela

~~~
TAKE NOTICE: STOP FLUORIDE DISEASES  BY ROBERT C. OLNEY, M.D.
REMOVE FLUORIDES FROM FOOD, WATER, AIR AND DRUGS
TO PROMOTERS OF FLUORIDATION:
Do you know the diseases caused by the "cumulative effect" of fluorine? If not, why not? Don't you think you should know these facts before you advocate forcing more of this most powerful and indestructible poison on innocent people? Don't you believe that anyone forcing helpless, innocent people to take in more fluorides should be held morally and legally liable for any damage to these people? Don't you believe that the medical profession and Public Health officials have a great responsibility in protecting the people from the serious, harmful effects of fluorides?

Extensive research has also shown that fluorides change the chemical structure of the living enamel of the tooth, making it a dead chalky substance. Fluorides also cause calcific plugs in the dentin, greatly disturbing the nutrition and circulation. Thus, fluorides are harmful to teeth and cause periodontal diseases from which people lose their teeth in mid-life. Public Health officials and Boards of Health should be protecting innocent people from this killer instead of promoting fluoride diseases.

For the U.S. Public Health Service to use hundreds of millions of dollars of tax money to promote fluoridation of the public water supply and deceive the American Dental Association, the American Medical Association and many millions of citizens, is an organized attack on the health of the people.


~~~

FLUORIDATION WILL HAVE CATASTROPHIC EFFECTS ON OUR GENERAL HEALTH - SEE THE EFFECTS OF FLUORIDE ON THE THYROID GLAND
By Dr Barry Durrant-Peatfield MBBS LRCP MRCS
MEDICAL ADVISOR TO THYROID UK  9-9-2004
Fluoridation of the nation's water supply will do little for our dental health; but will have catastrophic effects on our general health. We cannot, must not, dare not, subject our nation to this appalling risk.

Full document:  http://www.rense.com/general57/FLUR.HTM

Fluoride Alert  Health Warning: The Thyroid and Fluoride
http://www.fluoridealert.org/articles/thyroid-warning/
More Information http://www.fluoridealert.org/?s=thyroid

HUMAN TOXICITY, ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT & LEGAL IMPLICATIONS OF WATER FLUORIDATION here  http://www.enviro.ie/downloads.html

Health Effects Data Base  http://www.fluoridealert.org/researchers/health_database/


To quote Dr. Phillip R.N. Sutton, Formerly Academic Associate and Senior Research Fellow, Department Oral Medicine and Surgery, Dental School, University of Melbourne, Author of The Greatest Fraud: Fluoridation (1996):

“We are all affected by this potentially dangerous fraud: The convincing of Governments and people generally that it is ethical, safe and beneficial to medicate, compulsorily, many millions of people throughout their lives with small but uncontrollable doses of a cumulative and very toxic substance because of the notion that it reduces the prevalence of dental decay. All this, although neither its safety nor any scientifically-proved reduction in the number of decayed teeth has been demonstrated.”
http://fluorideinformationaustralia.wordpress.com/fia-report-archives/

'Fluoridation has not been shown to be safe and effective'
Cities in Canada are also abandoning the practice. The most recent are cities like Quebec City, Waterloo, Calgary and Windsor. Those cities finally decided to stop wasting their money on toxic waste (fluoridation chemicals) that not only are no longer effective to prevent decay but are likely harmful to humans and the environment.
Dr. Hardy Limeback BSc PhD (Biochemistry) DDS
Professor Emeritus and former Head, Preventive Dentistry
Faculty of Dentistry, University of Toronto


~

To Fluoridate or Not
an ethical and health issue which affects everyone’s health
A Submission to Councils, Health Departments and People
Dr Robert Gammal BDS
October 2013
http://robertgammal.com/PDFs/To%20Fluoridate%20or%20Not%207.pdf

~

Donna Westfall - "As a former city councilwoman, I was instrumental in investigating my constituents complaints about the harmfulness of water fluoridation. As a result I helped in getting an initiative to the ballot and we successfully voted in a moratorium on Nov 6, '12 to turn off the toxic industrial waste fluoride (HYDROFLUSOLIC ACID OR HFSA) because our supplier UNIVAR, (formerly Basic Chemical Solutions) would not provide three things: 1.) Toxicological report 2.) Listing of contaminants 3.) Proof that their product was safe for all water consumers, infants to seniors. This absurd practice of adding HFSA is based more on politics than science. Why should a water department be given the power to medicate anyone when they don't take a health history, they don't pass out a listing of side effects or monitor the dose. This is tantamount to gross negligence. Water consumers started to send in their payment UNDER PROTEST so that when the class action lawsuits begin they would be covered. After adding water fluoridation for 44 years, our town had 70% cavities in our childrens' teeth,(this figure was quoted from Dr. Susan Wellman, dentist who is pro-fluoride) high obesity rates and low test scores. Hardly an endorsement for continuing this unethical practice. We were also ranked 34th in the nation for cancer while Brookings, Oregon..... just 25 minutes north of us.... was ranked 765th in the nation for cancer for the same time period and Bookings never fluoridated their water.

We have high rates of not only cancer, but thyroid, diabetes and kidney disease all with links to HFSA. One constituent ended up in ER with seizures after showering for 10 minutes. Her doctor has subsequently written a letter describing her allergic reaction to water fluoridation. She was on a medication which contained fluoride and that in combination with absorbing this poison transdermally by showering put her in the Emergency Room. New Hampshire Governor Lynch signed into law on Aug 4, 2012 infant warnings concerning mixing fluoridated water with formula because of the high prevalence of fluorosis. Fluorosis is the first visible sign of fluoride poisoning. Our Environmental Protection Agency announced in early 2011 that 41% of our nations teenagers had fluorosis and recommended cutting the parts per million down to .7. Our city council reduced the ppm from 1.2 to .7. That was a step in the right direction but not nearly enough because our EPA is doing nothing to really test or protect the public from this toxic industrial waste product.

The only safe level when considering the addition of HFSA to drinking/bathing water is ZERO. The State of Kansas, has successfully entered House Bill 2372 on January 18, 2013 listing the harm of water fluoridation. If passed, Kansas will become the first fluoride-free state in the United States.
If you happen to call the NSF (which is not a government agency) and ask them questions about the testing they do on HFSA, they will tell you that they won't provide that information because of non-disclosure agreements. If you call the manufacturer, distributor or supplier, they will not provide information about the 3 requested docs listed in my opening paragraph above as I already did that back in 2011. I sent out over 40 letters and got zero responses. I predict that water fluoridation will become one of the biggest frauds and scams ever perpetrated against the public in the 20th and 21st century. That phrase often quoted by the CDC as being one of the best things since sliced bread was made up by a Public Relations guy. It's time to stop quoting that drivel. Water fluoridation will be compared to Tobacco science, DDT science, Asbestos science, Thalidomide science, etc. It is my opinion based on the research I've done which has been extensive these last 5 years, that it's all about the money. Some brilliant schemers came up with this idea to get rid of toxic industrial waste by saying it was good for children's teeth. That's a bunch of baloney. It's good for the bank accounts of the producers of HFSA because if they had to get rid of their toxic industrial waste legally, it would cost them hundreds of millions of dollars.

Instead they dupe the politicians with fraudulent science and endorsements which is not science and sell them a product in which humans are utilized to filter this poison through their bodies while 99% goes down the drain. The dumb politicians buy it hook, line and sinker and shut their brains down. They become incapable of independent thought as I witnessed first hand with my fellow council members and other councils I've visited. But the HFSA manufacturers, suppliers and distributors are laughing all the way to the bank because they've got these municipalities paying them for poison. Plus, I checked out our retirement accounts and lo and behold, the MOSAIC company is one of the funds city employees can invest their retirement money in. Again, as I said, brilliant scheme from start to finish. I just hope to see the day that some of them end up in prison. But here's the part they don't tell you. In 2011, our city finished a $43.8 million upgrade/expansion on our waste water treatment plant with state-of-the-art technology that utilizes MBR or Membrane Bio-Reactor technology. When I asked our Public Works Director if this gets the fluoride out of the water, he replied, "NO." So this poison is going into our Pacific Ocean. Poison the public. Poison the oceans. Poison the food and beverages. Because of that, we are all already over-fluoridated. Please do your homework.

Respectfully, Donna A. Westfall, Crescent City, Calif.

~~

Fluoride - The Battle of Darkness & Light by Mary Sparrowdancer © 2003 14 Dec. 2003

It was due to my growing concerns about our country's growing health problems as well as the erosion of our civil liberties that, in November of 2002, I published a paper focusing upon both of these issues and spoke about them on several radio programs. The paper quietly made its way through Washington, D.C., and then around the world.

The paper detailed the "revolving door" in Washington, D.C., an apparent turnstile between private industries and the United States government. Through this invisible door, industry managers pass directly into the very agencies that govern industry - the government's food, drug, agricultural and chemical regulatory departments - in order to influence regulations or speed the approval of their company's products. The paper, "Let Them Eat Anything," showed this unholy alliance, the conflict of interest that has contributed to a mounting epidemic of health problems in the United States. (1) Extensive information:
Presentation to the SPC for Utilities and the Environment City of Calgary Council Chambers

Wednesday January 26, 2011

Submitted by: Dr. James Beck, MD, Ph.D.

Some of you on the committee have heard me before on fluoridation. I have in the past focused on the questions of effectiveness in preventing cavities and on the adverse effects of fluoride and of hexafluorosalicic acid. I have given reasons, based on peer-reviewed research papers published in credible journals, for concluding that fluoridation is at best minimally effective and definitely harmful to subgroups of the population and possibly harmful to all of us. So in these few minutes I will comment only on the ethical issue.

In 1957 the Supreme Court of Canada ruled that fluoridation is “compulsory preventive medication”. The court's ruling is significant because it legitimizes the common-sense conclusion that we are being medicated and it puts the matter clearly in the realm of medical ethics. Medical ethics in turn is embedded in human rights.

Here is how fluoridation violates the code of medical ethics. It is administration of a drug without control of dosage (controlling concentration in our water is not controlling dose or dosage). It is administration of a drug without informed consent of the recipient. It does not provide monitoring of the effects on the recipient. It is not possible for the recipient to stop receiving the drug (many can not get nonfluoridated water and none of us can avoid exposure from foods and drinks processed where tap water is fluoridated). The drug has not been shown to be safe for human consumption.

Fluoridation of a public water supply is not only an ethical offense against us all, it is clearly a more serious offense against those subgroups of our population which are particularly at risk of harm from fluoride. These groups include infants being fed with formula reconstituted with tap water, diabetics, persons deficient in iodine intake, persons with kidney disease, boys during the eight-year-old’s growth spurt, and others. It is an obligation of city councils and of Alberta Health Services to protect all, not just the average or just the majority.

Several councilors have rightly been concerned about the dental health of children of low-income families. It is said that fluoridation is of particular benefit to poor children. That has been investigated. It is found that the fluoridated poor groups have no better cavity experience than do the non-fluoridated poor groups. Furthermore, it is found that the prevalence of cavities increases as family income decreases. It’s not fluoride that would benefit poor children; it’s a higher standard of living, probably better diet and better oral hygiene.

Now what kind of ethical consciousness allows one to continue to apply a possibly harmful process to unwilling people until there is absolute proof that it is harmful? I have seen this backward approach to safety in government reports on fluoridation. It goes like this: this study that shows association of fluoridation with this harmful effect is not a perfect study; there are weaknesses;
therefore we will continue the process until it is shown with certainty that it is harmful. And no further research is recommended; no responsibility to support a better study is accepted. In the presence of a small and dubious benefit such a conclusion, more than being irresponsible, is outlandish.

You don’t have the moral right to do this to us, to one million people. You should stop it now.

James S. Beck, M.D., Ph.D.
Professor Emeritus of Medical Biophysics, University of Calgary

~~

Fluoride: risks and benefits?

Disinformation in the service of big industry

David R. Hill, P.Eng.
Professor Emeritus
The University of Calgary
CALGARY, Alberta
Canada T2N 1N4

In conclusion, there is a political aspect. People in a free society have a right to choose whether or not they will accept medication, and they have a right to individual treatment and monitoring. Those who believe fluoride works are entitled to their opinion. They may choose their own experts and distrust the proven evidence of legal proceedings in court. They may ignore their own peer-reviewed medical journal reports showing significant and serious risks. That is their right. But they have no right to force others to drink or use fluoride against their will, especially in the face of so much credible evidence of harms, with little or no benefit, and when more effective, democratic and medically responsible forms of medication exist. Nor should they promote the use of fluoride without reference to its dangers.


~~

I am opposed to fluoridation as a Professor and Health Researcher. My work is supported by the UK Department of Health, I am a member of the UK Faculty of Public Health and have a number of funded research projects from the National Institutes for Health Research in the UK.

I have consistently opposed fluoridation policy due to the poor evidence base on its effectiveness, genuine concerns about potential health problems (requiring further research) and, therefore, the fact that imposing fluoridation is unethical.

Professor Stephen Peckham BSc. MA(Econ)., HMFPH
Director, Centre for Health Services Studies
http://www.kent.ac.uk/chss/
SPORTS INJURIES AUSTRALIA WIDE EPIDEMIC

SPORTS injuries caused from overuse are becoming more common among children and adolescents, Adelaide orthopedic surgeons have warned.
South Australia fluoridated since 1971.

Fluoride magnifies impact of repetitive stress on joints
http://www.fluoridealert.org/studies/arthritis04/

More here: http://www.fluoridealert.org/?s=joint+and+bone+fluoride

And then this information below is from Robert Gammal's website, he is a practicing dentist.

1. Fluoride exposure disrupts the synthesis of collagen and leads to the breakdown of collagen in bone, tendon, muscle, skin, cartilage, lungs, kidney and trachea.

   A.K. Susheela and Mohan Jha, "Effects of Fluoride on Cortical and Cancellous Bone Composition", IRCS Medical Sciences: Library Compendium, Vol 9, No.11,


Y.D. Sharma, "Variations in the Metabolism and Maturation of Collagen after Fluoride Ingestion", Biochemica et Biophysica Acta, Vol 715, pp.137-141 (1982);


FLUORIDE: THE AGING FACTOR’

Extract:
Dr. John Yiamouyiannis was, until his death in the fall of 2000, the world's leading authority on the biological effects of fluoride. His formal education included a B.S. in biochemistry from the University of Chicago and a Ph.D in biochemistry from the University of Rhode Island. After a year of postdoctoral research at Western Reserve University Medical School, Yiamouyiannis went on to become biochemical editor at Chemical Abstracts Service, the world's largest chemical information center. It was at Chemical Abstracts Service, where Yiamouyiannis became interested in the damaging effects of fluoride.

Chapter 4 - Breaking Down the Body's Glue
All animals, including humans, are made up of cells. The cell, the basic unit of life, can be identified under a microscope by its outer membrane and a nucleus within the membrane.

Some cells are able to produce a protein called collagen. In this book, the term "collagen" refers to collagen as well as collagen-like proteins. This process occurs inside the cell. Little globules called vesicles carry the collagen from the inside of the cell to the cell membrane where it is released to the outside of the cell. There, the collagen thickens into fibers.

The five different types of cells capable of producing and releasing collagen in this way are: fibroblasts, which produce collagen for the structural support of skin, tendons, ligaments and muscle; chondroblasts, which produce collagen for the structural support of cartilage; osteoblasts, which produce collagen for the structural foundation and framework upon which calcium and phosphate are deposited, giving rise to bone;
ameloblasts, which produce collagen for the structural foundation and framework upon which calcium and phosphate are deposited, giving rise to tooth enamel.

Odontoblasts, which produce collagen for the structural foundation and framework upon which calcium and phosphate are deposited, giving rise to the inner part of the tooth. This material is called dentin.

Like other proteins, collagen is composed of amino acids linked together in a chain. However, collagen contains two additional amino acids, hydroxyproline and hydroxylysine, not found in other proteins. Thus when collagen breaks down, the hydroxyproline and hydroxylysine levels in the blood and urine increase.

Researchers from Harvard University and the National Institutes of Health knew in the 1960s that fluoride disrupted collagen synthesis. It was not until 1979-1981, however, that a new flurry of research activity in this area began.

Reference: THE CASE AGAINST FLUORIDE HOW HAZARDOUS WASTE ENDED UP IN OUR DRINKING WATER AND THE BAD SCIENCE AND POWERFUL POLITICS THAT KEEP IT THERE by Paul Connett, PhD, James Beck, MD, PhD, H.S. Micklem, DPhil

Extracted from Page 124 The Evidence of Harm

Heading ANIMAL STUDIES

There are many animal studies of fluoride’s impact on the bone and brain, as well as on the endocrine and reproductive systems. These are discussed in subsequent chapters along with some important human studies.

FARM AND DOMESTIC ANIMAL STUDIES

Normally, when we talk about animal studies, we are thinking about animals used in lab studies, like rats, mice and guinea pigs. However, in the history of fluoride pollution (see chapter 9) the fluoride poisoning of farmyard animals has been of great concern. Grazing cows have literally been brought to their knees by fluoride emissions from aluminum smelters.

One of the pioneers in researching the IMPACTS OF FLUORIDE on farmyard animals was DR. LENNART KROOK, A VETERINARY PATHOLOGIST at Cornell University. Dr. Krook passed away in April, 2010. One of his last studies involved investigating the mysterious illnesses affecting a number of quarter horses on Cathy and Wayne Justus’ farm in Pagosa Springs, Colorado. Some of the horses died. It was only when Cathy and Wayne Justus changed the horses’ water supply that the symptoms of the remaining animals began to clear up. Dr. Krook was able to show that the likely cause of the horses’ ailments was fluoride. This event led to the halting of fluoridation in Pagosa Springs. A very disturbing videotape of these horses can be viewed online. The Justus and Krook study was published in the journal Fluoride in 2006.

The problem for both cows and horses is that they drink very large quantities of water, so should they be exposed to fluoridated water, their fluoride exposure can be very high indeed.

ANOTHER ANIMAL THAT MIGHT BE VERY SENSITIVE TO FLUORIDE IS THE DOG.
The dog is one of the few animals that succumbs to osteosarcoma, and larger dogs commonly lose strength in their rear legs.

Fluoride is known to cause arthritic-like symptoms and is suspected of causing osteosarcoma in both rats and humans (see chapter 18. With the possibility that dogs are getting high levels of fluoride in pet food, especially pet food that contains bonemeal, there is a very real chance that fluoride may be the causative agent for these conditions. These speculations need to be investigated.

SUMMARY
The chemistry and biochemistry of fluoride and its kinetics in the body, are such that fluoride can function as a cumulative poison when small amounts are ingested over a long period by drinking fluoridated water. Fluoride circulates in the blood and accumulates in calcifying tissues, which include the bone, the teeth, and the pineal gland. It can inhibit the function of a variety of enzymes in vitro (‘in vitro’ literally means ‘in glass’ and is used to indicate an experiment performed outside the whole body). Also in vitro, in combination with traces of aluminum, fluoride can interfere with G proteins, used by many water-soluble messengers, such as hormones and growth factors, to deliver their messages to the inside of the cells of tissues they help regulate. Although more difficult to prove, it is reasonable to assume that many of the effects seen in vitro can occur in the whole body. END EXTRACT

ZOO ELEPHANTS WERE EUTHANIZED AFTER 6 YEARS OF ALUMINUM FLUORIDE WATER CONSUMPTION
Richard Sauerheber, Ph.D.

From 1982 says it all:-
1982 - Former NIH (National Inst. of Health) scientist opposed to fluoride
Statement by James B. Patrick, Ph.D. at the Joint Congressional Committee on Health & Appropriations Against the Inclusion of Fluoridation in the Preventive Health & Health Services Block Grant, Held August 4, 1982.
Dr. Patrick earned his B.S. from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology & his M.A. and Ph.D. from Harvard University majoring in chemistry. His experience as Antibiotics Research Scientist was with the National Institute of Health & Lederle Laboratories.
Dr. Patrick is Senior Professor and Chairman of the Department of Chemistry, Mary Baldwin College, Stauton, Virginia, 1967 to date. He is author of 28 technical papers & holder of 7 U.S. patents.
I strongly advise against the legislature of this Commonwealth having anything to do with fluoridation.

It is a scientific disgrace that a well organized lobby of the American Dental Association ever managed to stampede American legislators into ignoring the highly technical but very cogent objection to fluoridation.

Source: http://www.nofluoride.com/eight.cfm
FIRST DO NO HARM  
PEOPLES' RIGHT TO FREEDOM OF CHOICE  
DEMOCRACY  
ETHICS  
PEOPLES' HEALTH RIGHTS FREEDOM AND TO INFORMED CONSENT (OR REFUSAL)  
(WHETHER TO BE TREATED, MEDICATED, DRUGGED AND OR POISONED)  
THE PRECAUTIONARY PRINCIPLE  
PREVENTATIVE HEALTH CARE  
IT IS INSANE TO DUMP/DISPOSE DANGEROUS HAZARDOUS WASTE AND CO-CONTAMINANTS INTO YOUR PEOPLES’ WATER SUPPLIES (AND HENCE FOOD CHAIN AND ENVIRONMENT).  

(Allegedly) Dentists, Doctors and others and their interests with massive political and media power, clout and control, relentlessly pushing/forcing these dangerously corrosive hazardous waste pollutants on us all is appalling, outrageous, disgusting, willfully and recklessly negligent and downright dangerous.  

These corrosive hazardous waste pollutants and co-contaminants aka 'water fluoridation' is not only in the water supplies it is of course, through our food chain and environment.  

It is alleged by many that the Corporate `sponsorship` etc., of our Dental Schools in Australian Universities etc., is like leaving the wolves to mind the lambs and many allegedly say that this is most serious conflicts of interests and complete loss of ethics and integrity.  

The informed and independently researched/unbiased members of the population are sick of the fact that for decades the Fluoridation Lobby remain so politically powerful and media powerful - and that's the only way these dangerously corrosive hazardous waste pollutants fluorosilicic acid/silicofluorides and co-contaminants of lead, mercury, arsenic, cadmium etc., (known as water fluoridation chemicals) (also added is aluminium sulphate) continues to be disposed of into our drinking water supplies and hence also contaminating our food chain - the truth never gets to the people by way of headlines in a totally controlled corporate mainstream media - this is appalling.  

Also many of the population are still unaware because authorities lie and say the 'fluoride' is natural - this is absolutely deceptive and a cover-up.  
Hereunder is what they are consuming in their water, of course it's all through the food chain, and they bath their babies, kids, themselves and their pets in these hazardous waste pollutants - we the population, pets and environment polluted with this hazardous waste known as water fluoridation.  

All thinking people must ask, who in their right minds would force an entire population to consume this in everything we eat, drink and bathe in, also ask why would Dentists and doctors fight to the death to enforce this pollutant on us all & our environment if as they say it is effective at reducing dental decay by between 30 & 60% thus reducing their income. What BS ! They say it is safe and effective and Australia wide and USA all in dental crisis after decades of the poison fluoridation commencing firstly in Australia 1953 and USA in 1945.

Fluoridation = What you are drinking, bathing in and it's all through the food chain and environment:-

FLUORIDATION CHEMICALS
http://afamildura.wordpress.com/fluoridation-chemicals/ 

Raw Fluoridation Chemical Analyses - Freedom of Information - South Australia Water Corp. Raw fluoridation chemical analyses of South Australia's drinking water, listed below. This data has been scanned from original documents provided to Sapphire Eyes Productions by Dr. Andrew Harms and Ann Bressington. These documents show the toxic, heavy metal contaminants contained in the chemicals used to fluoridate your drinking water. These include lead, arsenic, mercury, uranium, and more. 'FIRE WATER' FILM SOURCE: http://tiny.cc/9qj4g
Source: http://sapphireeyesproductions.blogspot.com/ 
Watch: www.firewaterfilm.com


Hydrofluoric Acid - Acutely toxic chemical
http://www-esh.fnal.gov/CourseHandout_Mat/Hydrofluoric_Acid_Safety_Handout.pdf

Explaining the truth about "water fluoridation" and the phosphate mining industry http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LEZ15m-D_n8&feature=share

Fluosilicic Acid. TOXNET profile from Hazardous Substances Data Base http://www.fluoridealert.org/pesticides/fluosilicic.acid.toxnet.hsd.htm

Raw Fluoridation Chemical Analyses

Hydrofluorosilicic Acid Origins
http://cof-cof.ca/hydrofluorosilicic-acid-origins/

Dangerous Substances Regulations
The Dangerous Substances Regulations 2001, prescribe water quality standards in relation to certain substances in surface waters, e.g., rivers, lakes and tidal waters. The substances include certain pesticides (atrazine, simazine, tributyltin), solvents (dichloromethane, toluene, xylene), metals (arsenic, chromium, copper, lead, nickel, zinc) and certain other compounds (cyanide and fluoride). The Regulations give further effect to the EU Dangerous Substances Directive (76/464/EC) and give effect to certain provisions of the EU Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC). http://www.lcc.ie/Environment/Water_Quality/Dangerous_Substances_Regulations/

Fluoride Class Action http://fluoride-class-action.com/what-is-in-it
REPORT: 100% fluoridated Kentucky, USA - Rampant Dental Decay & chronic disease epidemic - DDB

Can Dentists & Doctors be trusted when they say Water Fluoridation is Safe & Effective?
http://fluorideinformationaustralia.files.wordpress.com/2013/10/can-dentists-doctors-be-trusted-when-they-say-water-fluoridation-is-safe-effective.pdf

*The only answer EVER was to provide access to affordable dental health care services for all the population, not the disposal of hazardous waste pollutants and co-contaminants known as water fluoridation; into our drinking water supplies and hence also the contamination of our food chain and using the populations' kidneys as hazardous waste disposal/filtration units.*

FIREWATER FILM www.firewaterfilm.com

~~

Anti-Fluoridation Association of Mildura http://afamildura.wordpress.com/basics/

~~

Extensive information (only the 'tip of the iceberg') Water Fluoridation Pollution Must End > Download Report from here: > http://fluorideinformationaustralia.files.wordpress.com/2013/01/report-water-fluoridation-pollution-must-end-diane-drayton-buckland-independent-researcher-14th-january-2013.pdf

Fluoride Information Australia Wordpress website http://fluorideinformationaustralia.wordpress.com/fia-report-archives/